Juvenile Court Judges' Commission Statewide Outcome Measures



Pennsylvania Juvenile Courts Pennsylvania Juvenile Probation Departments

> Juvenile Justice Outcome Measures

Report Periods

January 1, 2004 to December 31, 2004 January 1, 2005 to December 31, 2005

January 1, 2006 to December 31, 2006

January 1, 2007 to December 31, 2007

January 1, 2008 to December 31, 2008

January 1, 2009 to December 31, 2009

January 1, 2010 to December 31, 2010

January 1, 2011 to December 31, 2011

January 1, 2012 to December 31, 2012

January 1, 2013 to December 31, 2013

All data is based on cases closed during the period indicated.

Total cases closed that involved an allegation of delinquency and resulted in probation supervision or other service provided through a county juvenile probation department:

2004 = 17,7092005 = 18,8032006 = 17,5762007 = 17,6572008 = 16,7882009 = 17,7022010 = 16,0272011 = 14,8492012 = 13,2512013 = 12,260


Community Protection:

The citizens of Pennsylvania have a right to safe and secure communities.


The juvenile justice system has a responsibility to protect the community from known juvenile offenders. Juveniles who do not commit a new offense while under court supervision have a higher probability of remaining crime free.

Since 2004, county juvenile probation departments have supervised and closed 162,622 cases. The proportion of cases closed successfully over this time is 85%.

1. # and % of juveniles who successfully completed supervision without a new offense resulting in a Consent Decree, Adjudication of Delinquency, ARD, Nolo Contendere, or finding of guilt in a criminal proceeding:



 # and % of juveniles who, while under supervision, were charged with a new



offense that resulted in a Consent Decree, Adjudication of Delinquency, ARD, a plea of Nolo Contendere, or finding of guilt in a criminal proceeding:

2004 = 2,356 (13.3%)2005 = 2,302 (12.2%)2006 = 2,319 (13.2%)2007 = 2,602 (14.7%)2008 = 2,498 (14.9%)2009 = 2,762 (15.6%)2010 = 2,579 (16.1%)2011 = 2,207 (14.9%)2012 = 2,168 (16.4%)2013 = 2,055 (16.8%)

All data is based on cases closed during the period indicated.


3. # and % of juveniles who, while under supervision, were charged with a new offense and whose cases are pending in criminal court:

2004 = 447 (2.5%) 2005 = 430 (2.4%) 2006 = 441 (2.5%) 2007 = 484 (2.7%) 2008 = 410 (2.4%) 2009 = 439 (2.5%) 2010 = 467 (2.9%) 2011 = 546 (3.7%) 2012 = 539 (4.1%) 2013 = 534 (4.4%)

a. # and % of juveniles who, while under supervision, were charged with a "direct file" offense and whose cases are pending in criminal court:

2004 = 61 (0.3%)2005 = 59 (0.3%)2006 = 63 (0.4%)2007 = 72 (0.4%)2008 = 75 (0.4%)2009 = 85 (0.5%)2010 = 66 (0.4%)2011 = 61 (0.4%)2012 = 69 (0.5%)2013 = 71 (0.6%)


4. # and % of juveniles with no judicial finding of technical violations of probation while under supervision:



All data is based on cases closed during the period indicated.

6. Median length of supervision:

2004 = 9.0 Months	2005 = 9.0 Months	2006 = 9.5 Months	2007 = 9.0 Months
2008 = 9.0 Months	2009 = 8.0 Months	2010 = 9.0 Months	2011 = 9.5 Months
2012 = 9.0 Months	2013 = 9.0 Months		



All data is based on cases closed during the period indicated.

Accountability:

In Pennsylvania, when a crime is committed by a juvenile, an obligation to the victim and community is incurred.

The juvenile justice system has a responsibility to ensure that juvenile offenders meet their obligation to repair the harm caused by their crimes. It is important that juvenile offenders make and fulfill a commitment to living crime-free lives. Through the completion of meaningful community service, juvenile offenders demonstrate their commitment to making amends for the harm their actions have caused.

The number and percentage of juveniles who complete assigned community service obligations and the number of community service hours completed are the primary measures of success. Most juvenile offenders complete their community service assignments, and the community receives valuable service from these juveniles.

Victims of juvenile crime are entitled to be restored, to the extent possible, to their pre-crime economic status. The payment of restitution by the juvenile offender is one of the most important outcomes sought by victims. The number and percentage of juveniles who make full restitution to their victims, and the amount of restitution paid are measures of how successful the system is in achieving offender accountability and victim restoration.

Since 2004, juvenile offenders have completed 5,263,478 hours of community service. The value of the community service hours completed equates to services worth approximately \$38,160,215.50 based upon a minimum wage of \$7.25 per hour. Additionally, victims of juvenile crime have received \$23,948,014 in restitution from juvenile offenders.


Community Service

1. # and % of juveniles assigned community service:

2004 = 11,256 (63.6%)	2005 = 11,816 (62.8%)
2006 = 12,023 (68.4%)	2007 = 12,123 (68.7%)
2008 = 11,660 (69.5%)	2009 = 11,859 (67.0%)
2010 = 11,337 (70.7%)	2011 = 10,389 (70.0%)
2012 = 9,650 (72.8%)	2013 = 8,945 (73.0%)

 # and % of juveniles who completed assigned community service obligation:

2004 = 10,573 (93.9%)	2005 = 11,128 (94.2%)
2006 = 11,316 (94.1%)	2007 = 11,243 (92.7%)
2008 = 10,610 (91.0%)	2009 = 10,862 (91.6%)
2010 = 10,203 (90.0%)	2011 = 9,213 (88.7%)
2012 = 9,120 (94.5%)	2013 = 8,460 (94.6%)




All data is based on cases closed during the period indicated.

1. Total community service hours completed:

2012 = \$3,535,441

2004 = 550,799 hours 2008 = 537,006 hours 2012 = 487,647 hours	2005 = 536,196 hours 2009 = 553,701 hours 2013 = 441,654 hours	2006 = 566,941 hours 2010 = 539,663 hours	2007 = 547,685 hours 2011 = 502,186 hours
2. Value of community serv	vice completed:		
2004 = \$3,993,293 2008 = \$3,893,294	2005 = \$3,887,421 2009 = \$4,014,329	2006 = \$4,110,322 2010 = \$3,912,557	2007 = \$3,970,713 2011 = \$3,640,849

2013 = \$3,201,992



All data is based on cases closed during the period indicated.

Restitution

1. # and % of juveniles with a restitution obligation:

2004 = 4,661 (26.3%)2005 = 4,733 (25.2%)2006 = 4,508 (25.6%)2007 = 4,725 (26.8%)2008 = 4,332 (25.8%)2009 = 4,402 (24.9%)2010 = 4,147 (25.9%)2011 = 3,779 (25.5%)2012 = 3,406 (25.7%)2013 = 3,505 (28.6%)


a. # and % of juveniles who made full restitution to their victim(s):

2004 = 4,017 (86.2%)2005 = 4,032 (85.2%)2006 = 3,824 (84.8%)2007 = 3,984 (84.3%)2008 = 3,730 (86.1%)2009 = 3,733 (84.8%)2010 = 3,370 (81.3%)2011 = 2,926 (77.4%)2012 = 2,803 (82.3%)2013 = 2,687 (76.7%)



2. Total amount of restitution collected:

2004 = \$2,144,884 2005 = \$2,362,067 2006 = \$2,397,438 2007 = \$2,614,863 2008 = \$2,410,514 2009 = \$2,806,162 2010 = \$2,481,918 2011 = \$2,268,183 2012 = \$2,313,168 2013 = \$2,148,817




All data is based on cases closed during the period indicated.


Victim Awareness


1. # and % of juveniles directed/ordered to participate in a victim awareness curriculum/ program while under supervision:





a. # and % of juveniles who successfully completed a victim awareness curriculum/program while under supervision:





All data is based on cases closed during the period indicated.


Other Financial Obligations

1. # and % of juveniles ordered to pay Crime Victim's Compensation Fund costs:

2004 = 11,134 (62.9%) 2005 = 12,113 (64.4%) 2006 = 11,670 (66.4%) 2007 = 12,507 (70.8%) 2008 = 11,641 (69.3%) 2009 = 12,702 (71.8%) 2010 = 12,050 (75.2%) 2011 = 10,111 (68.1%) 2012 = 9,108 (68.7%) 2013 = 8,505 (69.4%)


a. # and % of juveniles who paid Crime
Victim's Compensation Fund costs in
full:

2004 = 9,589 (86.1%) 2005 = 11,127 (91.9%) 2006 = 10,599 (90.8%) 2007 = 10,693 (85.5%) 2008 = 10,174 (87.4%) 2009 = 11,733 (92.4%) 2010 = 10,275 (85.3%) 2011 = 8,396 (83.0%) 2012 = 8,228 (90.3%) 2013 = 7,667 (90.1%)



2. Total amount Crime Victim's Compensation Fund costs collected:

2004 = \$279,9572005 = \$348,3732006 = \$346,1502007 = \$359,1672008 = \$364,7702009 = \$395,7702010 = \$341,2322011 = \$287,3412012 = \$288,1842013 = \$255,4892013 = \$255,489



All data is based on cases closed during the period indicated.

Competency Development:

Juveniles, who come within the jurisdiction of Pennsylvania's juvenile justice system, should leave the system more capable of being responsible and productive members of their communities.

Areas in which one could reasonably expect young people in trouble with the law to build and demonstrate competencies depending on their age and stage of development are Pro-Social Skills, Moral Reasoning Skills, Academic Skills, Workforce Development Skills, and Independent Living Skills.

Additionally, juveniles who are either in school or working have a significantly greater chance of not belonging to a gang, and avoiding criminal behaviors such as theft, assault, selling drugs, and carrying a handgun.

1. # and % of juveniles directed/ordered to participate in a competency development activity while under supervision:


2004 = 13,230 (74.7%)2005 = 13,704 (72.9%)2006 = 13,301 (75.7%)2007 = 13,017 (73.7%)2008 = 12,871 (76.7%)2009 = 14,171 (80.1%)2010 = 13,056 (81.5%)2011 = 12,212 (82.2%)2012 = 10,692 (80.7%)2013 = 9,370 (76.4%)

a. # and % of juveniles who successfully completed a competency development activity while under supervision:

2004 = 11,646 (88.0%)	2005 = 12,275 (89.6%)
2008 = 11,743 (91.2%)	2009 = 12,967 (91.5%)
2012 = 10,093 (94.4%)	2013 = 8,893 (94.9%)

2006 = 11,918 (89.6%)	2007 :
2010 = 11,874 (90.7%)	2011

2007	=	11,896	(91.4%)
2011	=	11,164	(91.4%)




All data is based on cases closed during the period indicated.

2. # and % of Juveniles directed/ordered to participate in a licensed substance abuse treatment program while under supervision:

2006 = 3,656 (20.8%) 2007 = 3,754 (21.3%) 2008 = 3,581 (21.3%) 2009 = 3,815 (18.7%) 2010 = 3,640 (22.7%) 2011 = 3,746 (25.2%) 2012 = 3,099 (23.4%) 2013 = 3,000 (24.5%)

 # and % of juveniles who were ordered/directed to participate and successfully completed, or are actively participating in, a licensed substance abuse treatment program at case closing (in-patient or out-patient):


> 2006 = 2,973 (81.3%) 2007 = 3,199 (85.2%) 2008 = 3,024 (84.4%) 2009 = 3,317 (86.9%) 2010 = 3,143 (86.3%) 2011 = 3,173 (84.7%) 2012 = 2,747 (88.6%) 2013 = 2,692 (89.7%)



All data is based on cases closed during the period indicated.

3. # and % of juveniles employed or engaged in an educational or vocational activity at case closing:

2004 = 14,368 (81.1%)	2005 = 14,001 (74.5%)	2006 = 14,166 (80.6%)	2007 = 14,676 (83.1%)
2008 = 13,968 (83.2%)	2009 = 14,867 (84.0%)	2010 = 12,919 (80.6%)	2011 = 12,269 (82.6%)
2012 = 11,585 (87.4%)	2013 = 10,390 (84.7%)		



All data is based on cases closed during the period indicated.


Placement Summary:

The following information pertains to juveniles who, while under the jurisdiction of the Juvenile Court, were committed to out-of-home placement for a period greater than 28 days at least one time in conjunction with a juvenile delinquency disposition.

The proportion of juveniles whose cases were closed in 2013 and who had experienced a placement episode was 21.2%, although the total number of juveniles who had experienced a placement episode is the fewest since this data has been collected. At the same time, the median length of placement increased from 6.7 months in 2012 to 6.8 months in 2013.

1. # and % of juveniles committed to out-of-home placement for 28 or more consecutive days (excluding detention, shelter care, and diagnostic placements):

2004 = 3,417 (19.3%)	2009 = 3,317 (18.4%)
2005 = 3,516 (18.7%)	2010 = 2,632 (16.4%)
2006 = 3,244 (18.5%)	2011 = 2,855 (19.2%)
2007 = 3,107 (17.6%)	2012 = 2,980 (22.5%)
2008 = 2,900 (17.3%)	2013 = 2,594 (21.2%)



All data is based on cases closed during the period indicated.

2. Median length of stay in out-of-home placement (excluding detention, shelter care, and diagnostic placements):

2004 = 7.0 Mon	ths
2005 = 6.9 Mon	ths
2006 = 7.1 Mon	ths
2007 = 7.0 Mon	ths
2008 = 6.3 Mon	ths

2009 = 7.4 Months 2010 = 6.6 Months 2011 = 6.3 Months 2012 = 6.7 Months 2013 = 6.8 Months

